



Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report i

Apr 26, 2016 – 09:15 PM BST

PDB ID : 2JMJ
Title : NMR solution structure of the PHD domain from the yeast YNG1 protein in complex with H3(1-9)K4me3 peptide
Authors : Ilin, S.; Taverna, S.D.; Rogers, R.S.; Tanny, J.C.; Lavender, H.; Li, H.; Baker, L.; Boyle, J.; Blair, L.P.; Chait, B.T.; Patel, D.J.; Aitchison, J.D.; Tackett, A.J.; Allis, C.D.
Deposited on : 2006-11-15

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp>
with specific help available everywhere you see the i symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see [references](#) ①) were used in the production of this report:


Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbitiy	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	1.7.1 (RC1), CSD as537be (2016)
Percentile statistics	:	20151230.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 30th 2015)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	rb-20027457
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	rb-20027457

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	114402	11133
Ramachandran outliers	111179	9975
Sidechain outliers	111093	9958

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	A	90	17%	29%	12%	• 7%	33%
2	P	9	33%	67%			

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 19 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:25-A:78, P:1-P:3 (57)	0.24	19

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.


Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19
2	1, 7, 8, 10, 17
3	4, 14, 20

4 Residue-property plots [\(i\)](#)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Protein YNG1



- Molecule 2: Histone H3




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble


Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Protein YNG1



- Molecule 2: Histone H3




- Molecule 2: Histone H3



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Protein YNG1




- Molecule 2: Histone H3




4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Protein YNG1



- Molecule 2: Histone H3





- Molecule 2: Histone H3

Chain P: 22% 11% 67%



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview i

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	

No chemical shift data was provided. No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

7 Chemical shift validation i

No chemical shift data were provided